List of CA firms Qualified and Disqualified in Technical Evaluation for the selection of Concurrent Auditors 2023-24		
Sr. No.	Name of the CA Firms	Remarks
1	M/s. R. C. Jain & Associates LLP	Qualified
2	Vinod Singhal & Co. LLP	Qualified
3	Raj Har Gopal & Co.	Qualified
4	M/s. A.S. Kulkarni & Associates CA	Qualified
5	M/s Ratan Rathi & Co., CA,	Qualified
6	M/s. Abhijit Kelkar & Co, CA,	Disqualified due to Only 3 ACA instead of 5 firm
7	M/s. Sankpal Kulkarni & Associates, CA	Qualified
8	M/s. Rao & Emmar, CA,	Qualified
9	M/s. Agasti & Associates, CA,	Disqualified due to Only 4 ACA instead of 5 firm
10	M/s. Rodi Dabir & Co., CA.,	Qualified
11	M/s. Amit Ray & Co., , CA,	Qualified
12	M/s. A.R Sulakhe & Co., CA,	Qualified
13	M/s RSM & Associates., CA,	Qualified
14	M/s. JNSB & Co., CA,	Qualified
15	Tambi & Jaipurkar	Qualified
16	M/s. S. Ramanand Aiyyar & Co.,	Qualified
17	M/s. Manohar & Venkata CA,	Disqualified Due to original supportive
		documents of past assignments not provided for
		verification in a specified time
18	M/s. L B Jha & Co,	Disqualified due to No Experience of Social Sector
		/ Externally Aided Projects & Only 4 FCA instead of 5
19	M/s. Goyal Parul & Co., CA,	Qualified
20	J N Gupta & Co., LLP,	Qualified
21	S. N. Nanda & Co., CA,	Qualified
22	M/s. Tripathi & Co., CA,	Disqualified due to Average Turnover less than Rs.1 Cr. 1) P & L for 2020-21 Not Certified by external
		auditors 2) Financial Statements in supports of turnover for
		2021-22 not attached
23	M/s. Akhilesh Pandey & CA,	Disqualified due to 1) Average Turnover less than Rs.1 Cr. 2) No Experience of Audit Assignments of PSU & Social Sector project 3) Only 2 team mentioned in proposal